

Judge sets June 1, 2027 trial date for Maduro and Cilia Flores

United States v. Maduro Moros et al. · S.D.N.Y. No. 1:11-cr-00205

Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein · Docket entry #- · Filed 2026-07-22 · Filed by: Court

What happened

According to the docket entry, Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein held a pretrial conference on July 22, 2026, with Nicolás Maduro and Cilia Flores present with their lawyers and interpreters. The judge set oral argument for Nov. 17, 2026, a final pretrial conference for May 25, 2027, and a jury trial for June 1, 2027. The filing itself is not yet available.

What it means

This is the first trial date set in the case. The judge also excluded the time until June 1, 2027, from the Speedy Trial Act clock (the law that sets deadlines for bringing a case to trial) "in the interest of justice." Both defendants remain detained while the case proceeds.

What happens next

The next date is oral argument on Nov. 17, 2026, at 10:30 a.m.; the jury trial is set for June 1, 2027.

Written from the court docket entry. The filing itself is not public yet; this brief is updated with excerpts as soon as it is.

Docket text (official, in English)

Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein: Pretrial Conference as to Nicolas Maduro Moros, Cilia Adela Flores De Maduro held on 7/22/2026. PTC held; Deft. Nicolas Maduro Moros pres. w/attys. Barry Pollack and Anna Estevao; Deft. Cilia Adela Flores de Maduro pres. w/attys. Mark Donnelly and Andres Sanchez; Interpreters Juan Davila Santiago, Gabriel Mitre and Mirta Hess pres.; Court reporter Rebecca Forman pres.; Oral argument set for 11/17/26 at 10:30 a.m.; FPTC is set for 5/25/27 at 11:00 a.m.; Trial set for 6/1/2027 at 10:00 am; Time excluded until 6/1/2027; in the interest of justice. Both defendants cont'd remanded. (Jury Trial set for 6/1/2027 at 10:00 AM before Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein) (Court Reporter Rebecca Forman) (ap)

Online version: <https://mailmaduro.com/caso.php?d=maduro-sdny-rd487624598>

Independent summary of a public court record, prepared with AI assistance under fixed accuracy rules. It is not legal advice and not an official court document. Requests and arguments are attributed to the party that made them; nothing here is a finding of guilt. Not affiliated with any person named.