

Maduro's lawyers move to dismiss lead count of the indictment

United States v. Maduro Moros et al. · S.D.N.Y. No. 1:11-cr-00205

Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein · Docket entry #322 · Filed 2026-09-02 · Filed by: Defense

What happened

Nicolás Maduro's attorneys filed a notice of motion announcing they will ask Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein to dismiss Count One of the Fourth Superseding Indictment. The filing states the motion will be argued on November 17, 2026, and is based on an accompanying memorandum of law filed separately. The notice itself does not lay out the substantive legal arguments, only the formal request.

What it means

The defense is seeking to eliminate one of the charges against Maduro before trial, though this particular filing is only the formal notice and does not explain the underlying legal reasoning, which appears in the accompanying memorandum of law. Maduro has pleaded not guilty and retains the presumption of innocence as the case proceeds.

What happens next

The motion to dismiss Count One is scheduled to be argued at the November 17, 2026 hearing before Judge Hellerstein.

Key passages from the filing (verbatim)

"will respectfully move this Court, before the Hon. Alvin K. Hellerstein at the Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007, on November 17, 2026 at 10:30 am (or at such other date and time designated by the Court) for an order dismissing Count One of the Fourth Superseding Indictment, ECF 260."

Page 1 — States exactly what is being requested and when it will be argued.

Docket text (official, in English)

MOTION to Dismiss Count One. Document filed by Nicolas Maduro Moros. (Pollack, Barry) (Entered: 09/02/2026)

Online version: <https://mailmaduro.com/caso.php?d=maduro-sdny-e322>

Full original filing: <https://mailmaduro.com/caso.php?d=maduro-sdny-e322&f=original>

Independent summary of a public court record, prepared with AI assistance under fixed accuracy rules. It is not legal advice and not an official court document. Requests and arguments are attributed to the party that made them; nothing here is a finding of guilt. Not affiliated with any person named.