

Notice: official transcript of the March 26, 2026 oral argument has been filed

United States v. Maduro Moros et al. · S.D.N.Y. No. 1:11-cr-00205

Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein · Docket entry #298 · Filed 2026-03-30 · Filed by: Clerk

What happened

The notice states that the court reporter or transcriber filed the official transcript of the oral argument held on March 26, 2026 in case 11 Cr. 205 against Nicolás Maduro Moros and Cilia Adela Flores de Maduro. The parties have seven calendar days to file a notice of intent to request redaction of personal data. If none is filed, the transcript may be made available to the public without redaction after 90 calendar days.

What it means

This is a routine administrative notice; it does not change the charges or dates in the case.

What happens next

The parties have seven calendar days to request redaction; without such a notice, the transcript may become public after 90 days.

Key passages from the filing (verbatim)

"The parties have seven (7) calendar days from the date of filing of this NOTICE to file with the court any NOTICE OF INTENT TO REQUEST REDACTION of this transcript."

Page 1 — Seven-day deadline to request redaction of the transcript.

Docket text (official, in English)

NOTICE OF FILING OF OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT as to Nicolas Maduro Moros, Cilia Adela Flores De Maduro. Notice is hereby given that an official transcript of a Oral Argument proceeding held on 3/26/26 has been filed by the court reporter/transcriber in the above-captioned matter. The parties have seven (7) calendar days to file with the court a Notice of Intent to Request Redaction of this transcript. If no such Notice is filed, the transcript may be made remotely electronically available to the public without redaction after 90 calendar days.... (Moya, Goretti) (Entered: 03/30/2026)

Online version: <https://mailmaduro.com/caso.php?d=maduro-sdny-e298>

Full original filing: <https://mailmaduro.com/caso.php?d=maduro-sdny-e298&f=original>

Independent summary of a public court record, prepared with AI assistance under fixed accuracy rules. It is not legal advice and not an official court document. Requests and arguments are attributed to the party that made them; nothing here is a finding of guilt. Not affiliated with any person named.